#### THE EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

#### **Course Outline**

#### Part I

Programme Title : Doctor of Education Programme and Research

**Postgraduate Programme** 

**Programme QF Level**: 7

Course Title : Conceptualizing Research

Course Code : EDD8008

Department : Graduate School

Credit Points : 3
Contact Hours : 39
Pre-requisite(s) : Nil

**Medium of Instruction: English** 

Course Level : 7

### Part II

The University's Graduate Attributes and seven Generic Intended Learning Outcomes (GILOs) represent the attributes of ideal EdUHK graduates and their expected qualities respectively. Learning outcomes work coherently at the University (GILOs), programme (Programme Intended Learning Outcomes) and course (Course Intended Learning Outcomes) levels to achieve the goal of nurturing students with important graduate attributes.

In gist, the Graduate Attributes for Undergraduate, Taught Postgraduate and Research Postgraduate students consist of the following three domains (i.e. in short "PEER & I"):

- Professional Excellence;
- Ethical Responsibility; &
- Innovation.

The descriptors under these three domains are different for the three groups of students in order to reflect the respective level of Graduate Attributes.

The seven GILOs are:

- 1. Problem Solving Skills
- 2. Critical Thinking Skills
- 3. Creative Thinking Skills
- 4a. Oral Communication Skills
- 4b. Written Communication Skills
- 5. Social Interaction Skills

- 6. Ethical Decision Making
- 7. Global Perspectives

## 1. Course Synopsis

The course is designed to assist students to begin a systematic preparation for identifying problems that are considered important for scholarly communications, clarifying research ideas and concepts, evaluating critically research reports, formulating research questions by focusing on specific aspects of a broader research topic, and defining precisely the aim and objectives of a study. Since students with different backgrounds will come into the course with a variety of interest and skills, and would probably plan to embark on a wide range of areas, the course is designed to identify and build their capacities relevant to conducting research, provide focused specialist input, and offer a collective forum for debating research approaches. The course will also address the issue of how might educational and social researchers make ethical decisions when conducting research and minimize the potential risk to participants in the study.

## 2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

*Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:* 

- CILO<sub>1</sub> Read research reports critically and undertake informed reflection abou the various approaches to educational and social research;
- CILO<sub>2</sub> Explore the assumptions and philosophy underlying different approach to research inquiry;
- CILO<sub>3</sub> Examine any issue in its context and to reflect on it, question accepted interpretations and apply information literacy
- CILO<sub>4</sub> Make well grounded, critical evaluations of a range of approaches to generating knowledge, informed by a sound working comprehension of the major debates underpinning contemporary approaches to research in education, social sciences, and humanities
- CILO<sub>5</sub> Examine problems from a variety of perspectives and develop new and novel solutions;
- CILO<sub>6</sub> Gain an appreciation of the variety of advanced research designs, methods and techniques available for their research;
- CILO<sub>7</sub> Distinguish ethical issues in research from other questions of value and from other merely empirical questions

# 3. Content, CILOs and Teaching & Learning Activities

Course Content	CILOs	Suggested Teaching & Learning		
		Activities		
Different approaches of	CILO <sub>1,2,3,4,5</sub>	• Lecture		
conducting literature review		Lecturer-led Q&A		
		<ul> <li>Problem-Based Learning</li> </ul>		
		Activities		
		<ul> <li>Cooperative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Collaborative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		Guided Research Activities		
Systematic review and critical	$CILO_{1,4,5}$	■ Lecture		
appraisal of different types of		<ul> <li>Lecturer-led Q&amp;A</li> </ul>		
studies		<ul> <li>Problem-Based Learning</li> </ul>		
		Activities		
		<ul> <li>Cooperative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Collaborative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Guided Research Activities</li> </ul>		
Theory construction and	CILO <sub>2,4,5</sub>	<ul><li>Lecture</li></ul>		
identification of testable		<ul> <li>Lecturer-led Q&amp;A</li> </ul>		
theories; and the development		<ul> <li>Problem-Based Learning</li> </ul>		
of hypotheses from theories		Activities		
		<ul> <li>Cooperative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Collaborative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Guided Research Activities</li> </ul>		
Research strategies and	CILO <sub>3,4,5,6</sub>	Lecture		
research approaches		<ul> <li>Lecturer-led Q&amp;A</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Problem-Based Learning</li> </ul>		
		Activities		
		<ul> <li>Cooperative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Collaborative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Guided Research Activities</li> </ul>		
Introduction of different	CILO <sub>7</sub>	Lecture		
approaches to making ethical		■ Lecturer-led Q&A		
decisions		<ul> <li>Problem-Based Learning</li> </ul>		
		Activities		
		<ul> <li>Cooperative Group Work</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Collaborative Group Work</li> </ul>		

		Guided Research Activities		
Key issues in research ethics,	CILO <sub>7</sub>	■ Lecture		
including, among others,		■ Lecturer-led Q&A		
ethical integrity, scientific		<ul> <li>Problem-Based Learning</li> </ul>		
and social responsibility, the		Activities		
welfare of research		Cooperative Group Work		
participants, proportionality		Collaborative Group Work		
of risk, the obtaining of		Guided Research Activities		
informed consent, the use of		(presentation)		
inducements, the use of				
deception, and privacy and				
confidentiality				

## 4. Assessment

Assessment Tasks	Weighting (%)	CILO
Article critique:	40%	
Student are required to identify an		
empirical (data-producing) research		
article in a recognized journal (SSCI or		
SCI preferred) and write a critique of		
the article		CILO <sub>1-7</sub>
Homework Assignment: Several	40%	
questions on the topics covered in the		
lectures will be set; students will have a		
choice to answer some of them		
Presentation	20%	

# 5. Required Text(s)

No prescribed texts.

## 6. Recommended Readings

- Creswell JW. (2004). *Educational research: Planning, conducting and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.)*. Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall
- Flyvbjerg B, Sampson S. (2001). Making Social Science Matter: Why Social Inquiry Fails and How it Can Succeed Again. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press

- Wallen NE, Fraenkel JR. (2001). *Educational Research: A Guide to the Process* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Herr KG, Anderson GL. (2005). The Action Research Dissertation: A Guide for Students and Faculty. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Israel M, Hayes I. (2006) Research ethics for social scientists. Thousand Oaks,
   CA: Sage Publications

#### 7. Related Web Resources

Nil

#### 8. Related Journals

Greenhalgh T. How to read a paper: Papers that summarise other papers (systematic reviews and meta-analyses). BMJ;1997(315):672-675

Additional journal articles may be prescribed by individual members of the team of instructors.

## 9. Academic Honesty

The University adopts a zero tolerance policy to plagiarism. For the University's policy on plagiarism, please refer to the *Policy on Academic Honesty, Responsibility and Integrity with Specific Reference to the Avoidance of Plagiarism by Students* (<a href="https://www.eduhk.hk/re/modules/downloads/visit.php?cid=9&lid=89">https://www.eduhk.hk/re/modules/downloads/visit.php?cid=9&lid=89</a>). Students should familiarize themselves with the Policy.

#### 10. Others

Nil

May 2019