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Abstract

Indonesia always continually failed international assessments even though many efforts have been made. The results of PISA 2012 put Indonesian students in the
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worst position. In contrast, East Asian countries' performance well in mathematics, reading, and science. Indeed, Singapore has the best performance in the Southeast Asia region even in the world as well as Shanghai-China. The position of Indonesia is lower than Viet Nam, Thailand, and Malaysia in South-East Asia. Therefore, by carrying out a literature review, this paper analyzes what is happening in Indonesia beyond assessment, especially in science. Assessment reform from the above East Asian countries was also depicted to complete the discussion. Thus, this paper not only analyzed the lack of science content in Indonesia compared to other countries, but also described some lessons that can be drawn in relation to the content tested in the PISA science test from East-Asian countries.
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Introduction

Nowadays, there is no country in the world without a system of formal examination and educational assessment. According to Broadfott (2007), educational assessment is a truly international phenomenon, involving international large-scale assessment. The most large-scale assessments can be found in international comparative studies such as the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) and OECD’s Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) (Biesta, 2009; Fensham, 2013). Indonesia, the country with the fourth largest population in the world, has also participated in these assessments. However, Indonesia has clearly failed to foster a culture of literacy for the people. Stated simply, Indonesian peoples do not have a “reading culture”. The quality of education based on international education assessments such as PISA and TIMSS is poor when compared with other nations, even though the education’ budget has been much improved. The results of PISA 2012 put Indonesian students in a very low position (64 of 65 countries) (OECD, 2014a).

Moreover, the World Competitiveness Scoreboard 2005 ranks Indonesia 59th of the 60 countries studied. In contrast, Malaysia was ranked 28th and India was 39th (Dharma, 2014). This can also be seen from the ranking of the Human Development Index (HDI), which less satisfactory (109 in 2000, 110 in 2002, 112 in 2003, and 108 out of 187 in 2013) even though the trend each year is positive (UNDP, 2014). Dharma (2014) also stated that the index of reading interest in Indonesia
reached .001 based on statistics of UNESCO in 2012. It means that of every 1,000 people, only one person has an interest in reading. This situation can be called tragedi nol buku—“tragedy of zero books”. To sum up, Indonesia's level is extremely low based on worldwide measurements and assessments.

Specifically, Pereyra, et al (2011) stated that the OECD Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) has become one of the most famous educational tools over the past decade for evaluating the quality, equity and efficiency of school systems in providing young people with thinking skills. PISA allows educators and governments to recognize effective policies that they can adapt to their local contexts. PISA assesses the extent to which young generation (15-year-old students) have acquired key knowledge and skills that are essential for full participation in the world societies.

The PISA’ assessment, which focuses on mathematics, reading, science, and problem-solving, does not just confirm whether students can utilize what they have learned; it also examines how well they can explore from what they have learned and apply that knowledge in unfamiliar settings and daily life. This assessment delineates the fact that modern communities encourage individuals not for what they know, but for what they can do with what they know (OECD, 2014b). PISA results assert what is possible in education by establishing what students in the highest-performing and improving education systems can perform. The findings allow policymakers around the world to evaluate the knowledge and skills of students in their own countries in comparison with those in other countries. The results of PISA have showed dominance of countries in East Asia (Shanghai-China, Hong Kong, South Korea, Taiwan, and Japan) and Singapore. Indeed, The China Post (2015) released the global math and science education ranking as follows:

...Taiwan and Japan tied for 4th place in a global math and science education ranking, administered by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), ...Singapore ranked best in the world, with Hong Kong placing second and South Korea third in the OECD's biggest global school rankings to date. ...The OECD examined 76 countries of varying economic status for the study, 11 more than the last PISA test it conducted in 2012...

Based on the above rationale, the dominance of the countries in East Asia and Singapore is visible terms of mathematics and science. Consequently, Indonesia could learn a lot of lessons from these countries. The focal point of this paper is what
Indonesia can learn from the PISA results, particularly in science. Therefore, the questions discussed in this paper are: 1) What science content is lacking in Indonesia compared to other countries? 2) What lessons can be drawn in relation to the PISA science test results of East Asian countries and Singapore? The answers to these questions will be described as follows. This paper shows that education reform and assessment reform overlap due to triadic scope in education: objective-learning process-evaluation (assessment). All of these play important roles in education reform.

**Methodology**

This study was undertaken as a systematic literature review based on the rationale of Indonesian education reform and some evidence from large-scale assessment. The review processes comprise three phases: planning, paraphrasing and reporting (Suprapto & Pai, 2015). During the planning phase, a logical-review protocol was developed and the method by which researchers should work and interact to conduct the review was decided. This protocol defines the procedure for choosing the suitable resources (paper, books, etc.) and includes the main problem, data collection and methods of analysis. The second phase focused on creating arguments and best practices based on the planning process. Finally, the purpose of the last phase was to elaborate the final report. The main purpose of our work is to reveal what lessons can be learned by Indonesia from other countries regarding the PISA results, particularly in science.

**Findings**

1. **Triggering from PISA 2012**

For PISA 2012, the main science results from OECD (2014a) can be summarized as follows: 1) the mean in science for OECD countries increased to 501 points; 2) Shanghai-China, Hong Kong-China, Singapore, Japan and Finland are the top five performers in science in PISA 2012; 3) Between 2006 and 2012, and between 2009 and 2012, Italy, Poland and Qatar, and, Estonia, Israel and Singapore, respectively, increased their shares of top performers and simultaneously reduced their shares of low performers in science, and 4) across OECD countries, 8% of students are top performers in science (Level 5 or 6). These students can identify, explain and apply
scientific knowledge and knowledge about science in a variety of complex life situations. Table 1 shows the rankings of several countries participating in PISA 2012. As mentioned in the introduction, Indonesia’s performance in science was ranked near the bottom. In contrast, some countries from East Asia and Singapore earned the top ranking.

**Table 1.** The highest and lowest ranking countries for performance in science (PISA 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>OECD average (Including Mathematics, Reading, and Science)*</th>
<th>Country rank</th>
<th>Mean scores</th>
<th>Annual change in score points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Shanghai-China**</td>
<td>Shanghai-China**</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Singapore***</td>
<td>Hong Kong**</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hong Kong**</td>
<td>Singapore***</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Taiwan**</td>
<td>Japan**</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Korea**</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Macao**</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Japan**</td>
<td>Korea**</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>Vietnam***</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Netherland</td>
<td>Liechtenstein</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Taiwan**</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD average</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>OECD average</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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63 Qatar 382.67 Qatar 384 5.4
64 Indonesia*** 384.33 Indonesia*** 382 -1.9
65 Peru 375.00 Peru 373 1.3

(Reorganized from OECD, 2014b)

*mean was counted from PISA data; the PISA 2012 survey focused on mathematics, with reading, science and problem-solving minor areas of assessment

** East Asia countries
*** Southeast Asia countries

2. Trends of Science Content and Science Scores in PISA Science Content

Science Content

Sadler and Zeidler (2009) acknowledged several features of the science portion of PISA as an innovative international test: a variety of items, contextualized prompts, emphasis on scientific processes and the use of scientific evidence. The test also equipped students in decision making in real-life S&T situations. They concluded that the science PISA framework has much in common with socio-scientific issues (SSI) in science education. In addition, Fensham (2013) described the content of the science PISA by starting from scientific literacy as a representation of vision toward science knowledge. There were three cognitive and three affective scientific competencies: identifying scientific issues, explaining phenomena scientifically and using scientific evidence and interest in science, support for science and responsibility towards resources and environments (Fensham, 2013; OECD, 2013a).

Science Scores

Figure 1 depicts the trends of PISA science scores from some countries which have unique trends from 2006 until 2012. The first trend is an accelerating or positively parabolic trend as performed by Macao-China. We see a similar trend in the steadily changing or linear positive results of Hong Kong, Korea, Japan, etc. The trends of Indonesia and several other countries showed no changes over the three periods of the test.
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Figure 1. Curvilinear trajectories of average science performance across PISA assessments

*Rate of acceleration or deceleration in performance (quadratic term)* (OECD, 2014a)

3. Expectation to PISA 2015

The 2015 PISA places science as a major domain; meanwhile, reading, mathematics, and collaborative problem solving are viewed as minor domains. Financial literacy is an additional domain that will be assessed this year. CMEC (2015) stated that approximately 70 countries/economies have been participating, including Indonesia. Contextual questionnaires also are administered to students and school principals. The assessment is entirely computer-based. The results of PISA 2015 will be published in December 2016. Indonesia has big expectations towards the results and hopes to either improve the ranking or increase the scores in science, mathematics, reading, and literacy.

In particular, according to science assessment of PISA 2015, scientific literacy may be characterized as consisting of four interrelated aspects, as shown in Table 2. In addition, Figure 2 depicts the framework of scientific literacy assessment more diagrammatically. In terms of context, the student should be able to recognize life situations involving science and technology by thinking personally, locally, and globally. Regarding competencies, students must be able to identify scientific issues, explain phenomena scientifically, interpret data and use scientific evidence. Turning
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to attitudes, students must perform well in supporting scientific inquiry, motivation, and act responsibly towards natural resources and environments.

Table 2. Four aspects will be assessed in science PISA 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contexts</td>
<td>Personal, local, national and global issues, both current and historical, which demand some understanding of science and technology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>An understanding of the major facts, concepts and explanatory theories that form the basis of scientific knowledge. Such knowledge includes both knowledge of the natural world and technological artifacts (content knowledge), knowledge of how such ideas are produced (procedural knowledge) and an understanding of the underlying rationale for these procedures and the justification for their use (epistemic-knowledge).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competencies</td>
<td>The ability to explain phenomena scientifically, evaluate and design scientific inquiry, and interpret data and evidence scientifically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes</td>
<td>A set of attitudes towards science indicated by an interest in science and technology; valuing of scientific approaches to inquiry, where appropriate, and a perception and awareness of environmental issues.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OECD (2013b)

Figure 2. Framework for PISA 2015 Scientific Literacy Assessment (OECD, 2013b)
4. Education System and Assessment in Indonesia

In Indonesia, the education system has undergone radical change in the twenty-first century (Berry, 2011). This reform has been marked by the implementation of school-based management (manajemen berbasis sekolah), which includes reforming the national education objectives, decentralizing management from the government of schools and implementing the 2004 curriculum, KTSP curriculum, and 2013 curriculum. In the past, the Indonesian education system placed a heavy emphasis on cognitive-attainment by students (Muhammad & Ali, 2001). The new curriculum aims at promoting students’ ability in applying knowledge in real life situations and calls for teachers’ to use classroom-based assessment to support learning. A widespread feeling is that continuous professional growth of teachers, strong school management, and leadership are the keys to the successful implementation of the reforms (Raihani, 2007).

In particular, assessment reform is very important because it is influenced by the globalization process. In line with Broadfoot (2007), assessment reform serves as a response to new social pressure. In the case of PISA results, all of the education components in Indonesia are under social pressure.

5. Assessment Reform around the World

Over the past few years, new approaches to assessment have emerged in a number of countries. In their assessment policies, many countries have highlighted the need to promote learner autonomy, a key element of educational success. Assessment has been mainly used for selection and accountability purposes in the eastern and western worlds, including Indonesia. As people have become aware of the problems of high-stakes examinations, assessment can be used as a tool to support learning and enhance teaching. Most countries have relied on an education reform with high emphasis on assessment as part of their learning agendas. These countries, thus, have sought to reduce excessive use of tests and examinations, and have used assessment to understand and support learning, while using student information to improve teaching.

The international comparison of results has done little to help establish an assessment for learning about culture. Some countries hold schools and teachers accountable for the performance of their students in the standardized inter-school comparative tests. Consequently, although many teachers acknowledge the
significance of formative assessment in student learning, teaching is still very much test-oriented. To help students achieve better results, it has become common practice to design tests simulate high-stakes external examination and to teach conventional types of knowledge and competence. Some countries have reported success in their assessment reforms, such as Shanghai-China (Tan, 2013), Singapore (Ng, 2008), and Hong Kong (Yung, 2006; Darling-Hammond, 2010). The important thing for these countries is shifts in perception about learning that are commonly and internationally labeled as the need for “lifelong learning”, “learning-to-learn” and “whole-person development” (Berry, 2011).

6. Education and Assessment Reform for Science in Shanghai-China

Zhao (2011) analyzed assessment reform in China by promoting the Assessment Indicator System for Sustainable Cities. The functions of this system are: 1) allows the city to systematically analyzed and further determine key issues that need to be resolved; 2) enables decision makers to focus on key issues and prioritize areas related to sustainable development; 3) can instruct policy and decision makers to let them better understand the framework for sustainable city development clearly; 4) can simplify and improve the understanding of sustainable city development among all the groups of society, promote their understanding of related plans and actions and take active measures and actions in a cooperative manner; 5) can show the status and executive effect of policies on sustainable city construction development and enable people to understand the progress of developments at any time; and 6) serves as a control tool and precautionary method used by decision makers and managers.

Moreover, China Digital Time- CDT (2013) reported that Shanghai (one of the biggest city in China) has been named the world champion of PISA for the second time. Shanghai’s students were ranked the highest globally with an average score of 613 in 2012 (up from 600 in the previous PISA test in 2010). Actually, we can learn many things from Shanghai. For example, in Shanghai we see chess master teachers, tiger mothers, dragon children, and application of the Kung Fu Panda philosophy to teacher mentoring and collaboration. In other words, the educational success in Shanghai is a combination of structural and sociocultural factors. Stimulated by the motivation to understand how this region become the best in PISA, conversations with principals, teachers, parents, and students is very important.

In particular, Tan (2013) successfully explored and depicted the success of education in Shanghai. According to her, there were four components of education success:
Shanghai’s shared vision of education; using standards and the best policy; many resources, hard work, conducting research and teacher development; and, synergies between teaching, learning and thinking. In particular, the introduction of testing and the various mechanics of performance have not caused the teachers to lose their rationale for practice and their relationship to the meaningfulness of what they do. In addition, the balancing between decentralization and centralization and the implementation of autonomy and accountability as part of the school appraisal system have become the key success factor in this city. In Shanghai, testing and examination serve as a means of central control. Moreover, according to Tan (2013),

From Shanghai, we can learn ‘ABC’, A= Anchor yourself on what you’re already good at; B= Borrow new ideas judiciously; C= Continue to improve and excel.

In science class, the Chinese approach is applied to curriculum, pedagogy (teaching-learning), innovation in assessment, and thinking. Regarding thinking, critical thinking, and creative thinking, teachers encourage students to become a great thinker and a hard worker (Tan, 2013; CDT, 2013). Turning to teaching, the “post-tea house teaching” approach (lesson delivery and lesson preparation) and dialogue-style teaching, from “teacher talk to student talk” are used in daily lessons (Tan, 2013). The following example is part of a student worksheet on a science topic in class:

...1. Since electricity can generate magnetic energy, can magnetic energy generate electricity? (Encourages students’ inferential thinking)
2. Read the textbook, page … on an experiment conducted. Which of the following scenarios will have the magnetic energy generating electricity? (Encourages students to draw their own conclusions)
3. Look at the equipment on the table. [Students are shown pictures of equipment.] Which equipment is able to produce magnetic fields? (Encourages students to apply what they have learned and made their own judgments)
4. Read the textbook, page… Discuss with the rest and share what you have learned about scientific research and the importance of physics. (Encourages students to relate what they have learned to their lives)... (Tan, 2013).

7. Education and Assessment Reform for Science in Hong Kong

In Hong Kong, high-stakes assessments are mandated to involve by a mandated school-based continuous assessment schemes, such as the Teacher Assessment
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Scheme (TAS) (Yung, 2006). The teachers’ roles in TAS are either as an assessor or a teacher. By implementing TAS, teachers in Hong Kong:

- Alleviate the problem of the over-practicing of rat dissections
- Reduce students’ examination pressure (with regard to practical work)
- Allow a valid assessment of students’ practical abilities
- Enhance teachers’ professionalism and widen their experience (Yung, 2006; p13).

Turning to science education, several lessons that can be drawn from the success of science teachers in Hong Kong can be summarized from a study by Yung (2006):

a) Science teachers do not just teach students to make a living but to enhance active learning
b) Science teachers apply a spiral of teaching, e.g: analogies, illustrations, examples from everyday life in explaining abstract concepts.
c) Science teachers argue that predictive capability is the greatest thing about science
d) Science teachers use mind-training through practical teaching, e.g: conceptual understanding, identify misconceptions, and problem-solving
e) Science teachers conduct practical work by developing thinking habits, scientific attitudes, and social skills
f) Science teachers emphasize the aspects of the nature of science (NOS)
g) Science teachers are aware the students’ role in the process of learning
h) Science teachers’ beliefs underlie classroom practices and assessment practices
i) Science teachers integrate assessment with teaching and learning

8. Education and Assessment Reform for Science in Taiwan

Driskell (2014) argued that Taiwan’s education system has historically been criticized for putting too much pressure on students and focusing too heavily on exams requiring rote memorization rather than the creative application of knowledge. Recently, reforms have focused on fostering the critical thinking and literacy skills necessary to be internationally competitive on PISA. In response to this need, the Ministry has promoted changes to policy on teachers’ professional development, so that teachers are encouraged to teach reading and develop reading curriculum with more of a focus on critical thinking. Teachers have designed
formative diagnostic assessments and lesson plans that begin to incorporate more
critical thinking exercises that reflect the higher order skills measured by PISA.
More broadly, PISA has changed the extent to which the nation regards teachers as
professionals who are expected to improve their own skills.

Specifically, in science education, Tsai, et al (2011) noticed that the role of
socio-cultural factors, the epistemology of science (or the nature of science), and
educational technology are important issues in the field when exploring students’
science learning and investigating students’ conceptions and learning environments.
The development of constructivist pedagogy and evaluation of its effects on
academic performance have encouraged students to explore how to promote the
practice of higher-order thinking. In terms of Bloom’s Taxonomy, higher-order
thinking (HOTs) in the cognitive domain may include modes such as evaluating and
creating in comparison with remembering, understanding, applying, and analyzing
(Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001). Moreover, in Taiwan, the incorporation of
technologies such as databases and online technologies in instructional designs is
becoming a trend in science classrooms. Nevertheless, most technology-enhanced
instructions are aimed at improving learners’ conceptual understanding and basic
process skills.

9. Education and Assessment Reform for Science in South Korea

Darling-Hammond (2010) pointed out that Korea achieved educational success by
replacing overcrowded curriculum and emphasizing several features: focusing on
deeper understanding of concepts; developing of core competencies: HOTs,
self-control, responsibility, independence, creativity, self-directed
learning, problem-solving, and social capital development; addressing the needs of
a global knowledge-based economy; and infusing technology. According to
Darling-Hammond, “Every Korean school had high-speed internet connections in
classroom by 2002 and ICT used at least 10% of every subject”.

In Korea, the Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology has strived to
strengthen teachers’ competency, supporting professional development through
science in-service programs. According to Slate (2012), there are more than a
hundred science centers, which provide students with an in-depth science curriculum
and laboratories to support science education. Korean students generally spend quite
a lot of time studying concepts and principles rather than engaging in hands-on
activities at the secondary level. This is a strategy of obtaining knowledge in a short
time and probably contributes to higher math and science test scores. Learning concepts and principles is as important as learning through hands-on activities.

10. Education and Assessment Reform for Science in Japan

In general, the Japanese educational system used top-down orientation and reaffirmed the Japanese approach, “the Meiji reforms”. Based on OECD (2012), several features and main characteristics of the educational system influenced the results of PISA: encouraging competition among schools, balancing of public and private education, growing reliance of private tutoring, implementing universal pre-primary education, autonomy in curricular decisions, a high-quality teaching force (i.e. lesson study), effective school-home communication, setting standards and accountability arrangements, and low levels of differentiation and emphasis on heterogeneous classes. In addition, Japan applied a new philosophy to education, namely *Ikiru Chikara* or zest for living, which emphasized key competencies: independent thinking and problem solving. Moreover, Japanese peoples have a high commitment to education, which assures that all students can achieve at high levels. In other words, the education still emphasizes values.

11. Education and Assessment Reform for Science in Singapore

In general, Singapore’s success in education has been influenced not only by the advantages of the small scale but also several key features. Based on an integrated planning system, Singapore developed a new framework for Curriculum 2015. According to OECD (2012), the orientation of this curriculum aims for every student to become: a confident person who thinks independently, critically, and communicates effectively; a self-directed learner; an active contributor, with innovation and initiative; a concerned citizen who is informed about the world and local affairs. In addition, close links between policy implementers, researchers and educators and commitment to equity and merit have become the key to success.

Singapore has a strong focus on mathematics, science, and technical skills. Consequently, students are now more engaged in science project work and HOTs questions. Moreover, “teach less and learn more” is now a widely used slogan (Ng, 2008). In line with Quek and Tan (2013), several educational policies have been implemented in Singapore, such as: Thinking Schools Learning Nation (TSLN), Teach Less Learn More (TLLM), IT Master Plans, Innovation and Enterprise (I&E), Project Work (PW), and Integrated Programs (IP). Furthermore, 21st-century skills,
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Darling-Hammond (2010) highlighted that Singapore’s students routinely speak English, the language of the test, at home. Moreover, the OECD (2012) also noted the following ten key lessons learned from Singapore:

1. A bold long-term education vision and leadership
2. Alignment of the education system to economic development goals
3. Coherence of the education system
4. Clear goals, rigorous standards, and high-stakes gateways
5. Curriculum, instruction, and assessment to match the standards
6. High-quality teachers and principals
7. Strong central capacity and authority to act
8. Accountable for performance management
9. Believing in meritocratic values, all ethnic student backgrounds and all ranges of ability is the route to advancement
10. Adaptation of proven practices from abroad

Specifically, Singapore is interested in changing the balance in student assessment from the assessment of learning to assessment for learning. The Singapore science curriculum in primary and lower secondary grades focuses on developing the idea of science as a process of inquiry which consists of three domains: knowledge, understanding and application; skills and processes; and ethics and attitudes. By having a useful skill, inquiry projects based on the roles played by science in daily life and society, awaken students' interest in science. Moreover, co-curricular activities such as science fairs, competitions, science in outdoor settings have been designed to generate interest among students. The important thing is, science teachers are selected from the top one-third of their cohort, receive initial training on the national science curricula during their pre-service training and are entitled to 100 hours of professional development each year (OECD, 2012). To sum up, the Singapore government wants students to develop the following traits: a spirit of inquiry and original thinking; a willingness to do something differently; a spirit of character; and a sense of giving back to the community.

Discussion

1. Assessment of Science Content in Indonesia
As described in the introduction, scientific literacy in Indonesia, especially for 15-years-old-students is unsatisfactory. Scientific literacy is used in PISA and characterized as consisting of four related aspects: context, knowledge, competencies, and attitudes (OECD, 2013). In terms of context, the students must be able to recognize life situations involving science and technology. Regarding knowledge and the position of the Indonesian when it comes to understanding and application, the students still have less knowledge of the natural world and knowledge about science itself. Turning to competencies, Indonesian students lack the ability to identify scientific issues, explain phenomena scientifically, and use scientific evidence. Finally, the students do not perform well in supporting scientific inquiry, motivation, and acting responsibly towards natural resources and environments as part of the attitudes dimension. The above description is illustrated below in Table 3.

**Table 3. The description of student’s competencies vis-à-vis the science content of PISA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less understanding of science and technology in relation to global issues (science contexts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian students show less understanding of knowledge of the natural world and technological artifacts (content knowledge), knowledge of how such ideas are produced (procedural knowledge) and an understanding of the underlying rationale for these procedures and the justification for their use (epistemic knowledge), (science knowledge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesian student less in explaining phenomena scientifically, evaluate and design scientific inquiry, and interpret data and evidence scientifically (science competencies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The less portion of attitudes towards science (ATS) in curriculum, such as awareness of environmental issues (science attitudes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the national science examination are illustrated in Figure 3. As seen in the figure, many schools still have an average science score below 7 even though the average score is about 7.5. In addition, based on the author’s experiences as a science educator in Indonesia, the empirical data from the national exam for the last 5 years showed that although the average scores of science is high, but the results of the mapping over indicators, including reasoning and thinking skills are still low. This is due to the lack of competent teachers who can develop an assessment based on
higher order thinking (C4 to C6 in cognitive level). The teachers are only competent up to the C3 level. Consequently, the thinking skills of students remain at a low level.

![Figure 3. Distribution of average science scores of Indonesia’s junior secondary schools in the 2010 national examination (Suharti, 2013)](image)

2. Lessons Learned from Several Countries

Based on the education systems, assessment and reforms in various countries, the following suggestion online how Indonesia can improve the level of science content learning:

a. Government policy: policy should borrow from the best examples of the above countries; and balance between decentralization and centralization. In line Brown & Beswick (2014), educators should rethink the curriculum change resulting for government policy, the influence of public and media scrutiny, and the results of standardized tests such as TIMSS, PISA, PIRLS, and other research findings,

b. More research should be done and teacher development should be emphasized.

Specifically, in regard to science education and assessment of science:

a. Empower science curriculum by adopting science curricula from other the countries,

b. Qualify pedagogy (teaching-learning) by encouraging active learning, and the spiral teaching method, e.g.: analogies, illustrations, examples from everyday life in explaining abstract concepts,
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c. Implement assessment innovation by integrating assessment with teaching and learning,
d. Introduce the types of PISA assessment in the science learning and assessment process,
e. Provide multi resources in science learning process and promote global scientific literacy through reading and writing,
f. Synergize teaching, learning and thinking by addressing HOTs, critical thinking, creative thinking, self-control, responsibility, independence, creativity, self-directed learning, and problem-solving in science classes and enhance students’ role in the process of learning,
g. Conduct mind-training through practical teaching, e.g: conceptual understanding, identify misconceptions, problem-solving and inquiry learning consisting of five domains: knowledge, understanding, and application; thinking habit; skills and processes; social skills; ethics and scientific attitudes,
h. Build a foundation with the aspects of the nature of science (NOS),
i. Address the needs of a global knowledge-based economy: social capital, development, and infusing technology in science learning. STEM education is one solution for overcoming lack of knowledge (Suprapto, 2016).

Conclusion

Based on the literature review above, two main points can summarize our findings. First, in certain areas, Indonesia has less science content compared to other countries. Students in Indonesia have little understanding of science-global issues, and less content knowledge, procedural knowledge, and epistemic knowledge. Indonesian students also demonstrate less ability to explain phenomena scientifically, evaluate and design scientific inquiry, and interpret data and evidence scientifically. In addition, in terms of attitudes, the student exhibit poorer attitudes towards science (ATS) in the curriculum, such as awareness of environmental issues. Due to the above problems, Indonesia’s PISA science ranking remains at the bottom level.

Second, Indonesia can draw several lessons from the PISA science test results of East Asian countries and Singapore: empowering science curriculum; qualifying pedagogy (teaching-learning); implementing assessment innovation; familiarising
teachers with the types of PISA assessment of science learning; providing multiple resources, promoting science learning and global scientific literacy; synergizing teaching, learning and thinking; conducting mind-training through practical teaching; building a foundation based on the aspects of the nature of science (NOS); addressing the needs of a global knowledge-based economy, social capital, and development; and infusing technology in science learning.
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