Bibliography on Autonomy in Learning

Compiled by Phil Benson, English Dept., Hong Kong Institute of Education

Categorised version.

This bibliography contains around 1,700 references on autonomy in learning and related topics. Most are references to work in the field of language teaching and learning, but there is also a good selection of references to work outside this field and outside the field of education. The bibliography was last updated in November 2006.
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